

Distinguishing number and distinguishing index of some operations on graphs

Saeid Alikhani * Samaneh Soltani

May 24, 2016

Department of Mathematics, Yazd University, 89195-741, Yazd, Iran
alikhani@yazd.ac.ir, s.soltani1979@gmail.com

Abstract

The distinguishing number (index) $D(G)$ ($D'(G)$) of a graph G is the least integer d such that G has an vertex labeling (edge labeling) with d labels that is preserved only by a trivial automorphism. We examine the effects on $D(G)$ and $D'(G)$ when G is modified by operations on vertex and edge of G . Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 3$. We show that $-1 \leq D(G - v) - D(G) \leq D(G)$, where $G - v$ denotes the graph obtained from G by removal of a vertex v and all edges incident to v and these inequalities are true for the distinguishing index. Also we prove that $|D(G - e) - D(G)| \leq 2$ and $-1 \leq D'(G - e) - D'(G) \leq 2$, where $G - e$ denotes the graph obtained from G by simply removing the edge e . Finally we consider the vertex contraction and the edge contraction of G and prove that the edge contraction decrease the distinguishing number (index) of G by at most one and increase by at most $3D(G)$ ($3D'(G)$).

Keywords: Distinguishing index; distinguishing number; edge contraction.

AMS Subj. Class.: 05C15, 05E18

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph with n vertices. We use the standard graph notation ([5]). The set of all automorphisms of G , with the operation of composition of permutations, is a permutation group on V and is denoted by $Aut(G)$. A labeling of G , $\phi : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, is r -distinguishing, if no non-trivial automorphism of G preserves all of the vertex labels. In other words, ϕ is r -distinguishing if for every non-trivial $\sigma \in Aut(G)$, there exists x in V such that $\phi(x) \neq \phi(x\sigma)$. The distinguishing number of a graph G has defined by Albertson and Collins [1] and is the minimum number r such that G has a labeling that is r -distinguishing. Similar to this definition, Kalinkowski

*Corresponding author

and Pilśniak [7] have defined the distinguishing index $D'(G)$ of G which is the least integer d such that G has an edge colouring with d colours that is preserved only by a trivial automorphism. These indices has developed and number of papers published on this subject (see, for example [3, 8, 9]).

We use the following notations: The set of vertices adjacent in G to a vertex of a vertex subset $W \subseteq V$ is the open neighborhood $N_G(W)$ of W . The closed neighborhood $G[W]$ also includes all vertices of W itself. In case of a singleton set $W = \{v\}$ we write $N_G(v)$ and $N_G[v]$ instead of $N_G(\{v\})$ and $N_G[\{v\}]$, respectively. We omit the subscript when the graph G is clear from the context. The complement of $N[v]$ in $V(G)$ is denoted by $\overline{N[v]}$. The graph $G - v$ is a graph that is made by deleting the vertex v and all edges connected to v from the graph G . Similarly, the graph $G - e$ is a graph that obtained from G by simply removing the edge e . An operation on vertex v of G which is denoted by $G \odot v$ is a graph obtained by the removal of all edges between any pair of neighbors of v . The contraction of v in G denoted by $G \circ v$ is the graph obtained by deleting v and putting a clique on the (open) neighbourhood of v . The contraction of an edge e with endpoints u, v in graph G is denoted by $G \circ e$ and is the replacement of u and v with a single vertex such that edges incident to the new vertex are the edges other than e that were incident with u or v .

In the study of distinguishing number and distinguishing index of graphs, this naturally raises the question: What happens to the distinguishing number and the distinguishing index, when we consider some operations on the vertices and the edges of a graph? In this paper we would like to answer to this question.

In the next section, we examine the effects on $D(G)$ and $D'(G)$ when G is modified by deleting a vertex or deleting an edge. In the Section 3, we consider the distinguishing number and the distinguishing index of $G \odot v$. In the last section we study the effects on $D(G)$ and $D'(G)$ when G is modified by contracting a vertex and contracting an edge.

2 Vertex and edge removal

The graph $G - v$ is a graph that is made by deleting the vertex v and all edges connected to v from the graph G and the graph $G - e$ is a graph that obtained from G by simply removing the edge e . Our main results in this section are in obtaining a bound for distinguishing number and index of $G - v$ and $G - e$. To do this we need to consider some preliminaries. A graph G is almost spanned by a subgraph H if $G - v$ is spanned by H for some $v \in V(G)$.

Lemma 2.1 [10] *If a graph G is spanned or almost spanned by a subgraph H , then $D'(G) \leq D'(H) + 1$.*

Proof. We colour the edges of H with colours $1, \dots, D'(H)$, and all other edges of G with an additional colour 0. If φ is an automorphism of G preserving this colouring, then $\varphi(x) = x$, for each $x \in V(H)$. Moreover, if H is a spanning subgraph of $G - v$, then also $\varphi(v) = v$. Therefore, φ is the identity map and we have the result. $\square$

The following result shows that removing a vertex of G can decrease the distinguishing number (index) by at most one but can increase by at most to double of distinguishing number (index) of G .

Theorem 2.2 *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 3$ and $v \in V(G)$. Then we have*

- (i) $D(G) - 1 \leq D(G - v) \leq 2D(G)$.
- (ii) $D'(G) - 1 \leq D'(G - v) \leq 2D'(G)$.

Proof.

- (i) We label the vertices of $G - v$ with labels $1, \dots, D(G - v)$, and the vertex v of G with an additional label 0. If f is an automorphism of G preserving this labeling, then $f(v) = v$ and the restriction of f to $G - v$ is an automorphism of $G - v$ preserving this labeling. Since we labeled $G - v$ in a distinguishing way at first, so f is the identity map. Thus we proved the first inequality in this part, similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1.

For the second inequality, let $N_G(v) = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$. We label the vertices of G with labels $1, \dots, D(G)$, in a distinguishing way. Next we change the label of the elements of $N_G(v)$ such that if the label of v_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, is t_i , then we change this label to $t_i + D(G)$. This labeling is a distinguishing labeling for $G - v$. Because:

If f is an automorphism of $G - v$ preserving the labeling, then $f(N_G(v)) = N_G(v)$ and $f(\overline{N[v]}) = \overline{N[v]}$, then by defining $f(v) = v$, f is the automorphism of G preserving the labeling, because f preserves the adjacency relation on G . Regarding to the method of the labeling of $G - v$, the map f is the identity map on $G - v$, and so f is the identity map on G . Since we used at most $2D(G)$ labels, we have $D(G - v) \leq 2D(G)$.

- (ii) The first inequality follows directly from Lemma 2.1. For the second inequality, let $N_G(v) = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$. We label the edges of G with labels $1, \dots, D'(G)$, in a distinguishing way. Next we change the label of the edges $\{vv_1, \dots, vv_m\}$ such that if the label of vv_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, is t_i , then we change this label to $t_i + D'(G)$. The rest of proof is similar to the proof of Part (i). $\square$

Example 2.3 *In this example we present some graphs such that they obtain the bounds of Theorem 2.2. Note that these examples can be used for distinguishing index, too.*

- (i) Let $K_{1,n}$ be the star graph such that $n \geq 3$ and let v be an its arbitrary end vertex (vertex of degree one). Then $D(K_{1,n} - v) = D(K_{1,n-1}) = n - 1$, and so $D(K_{1,n}) - 1 = D(K_{1,n} - v)$.
- (ii) Let G be a graph has shown in Figure 1. With regard to the degree sequences of G , it is easy to compute its automorphism group, and hence we can get $D(G) = n$. Also $D(G - v) = D(K_{1,2n}) = 2n$, and so $D(G - v) = 2D(G)$.




Figure 1: The graph G with $D(G - v) = 2D(G) = 2n$.

For some parameters of a graph such as domination number $\gamma(G)$, has proved that for every tree T there exists a vertex v such that $\gamma(T - v) = \gamma(T)$ ([6]). Here we show that this is not true for the distinguishing number and the distinguishing index. Let T be a tree of order $n \geq 3$. We present two tree (a centered tree and bicentered tree) such that $D(T - v) \neq D(T)$ and $D'(T - v) \neq D'(T)$ for all $v \in V(T)$. Let T be as shown in Figure 2. Since T is an asymmetric graph, so $D(T) = 1$. It can be seen that $2 = D(T - v) \neq 1$ for all $v \in V(T)$ by trial and error. For a centered graph, see the graph T' in Figure 2. This kind of tree some times called a spider. More precisely, a spider is the graph formed by subdividing all of the edges of a star $K_{1,t}$. It is easy to obtain that $D(T') = 3$ and $D(T' - v) \neq 3$ for all $v \in V(T')$. Note that the number of branches in T' is five. Since the automorphisms of T' are the permutations of branches, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.4 *Suppose that T' is a spider which has formed by subdividing all of the edges of a star $K_{1,t}$. If $t = k^2 + 1$, for some $k \geq 2$, then $D(T' - v) \neq D(T')$ for all $v \in V(T')$.*




Figure 2: Bicentered and a centered tree, respectively.

Now we examine the effects on $D(G)$ and $D'(G)$ when G is modified by deleting an edge.

Theorem 2.5 *For each connected graph G and $e \in E(G)$, $|D(G - e) - D(G)| \leq 2$.*

Proof. We first prove the inequality $D(G - e) \leq D(G) + 2$. We define a vertex distinguishing labeling with $D(G) + 2$ labels for $G - e$. First we label the vertices of G with $D(G)$ labels in a distinguishing way, next we replace the label of v and w by two new labels. This vertex labeling is distinguishing for $G - e$, because if f is an

automorphism of $G - e$ such that it preserves the labeling, then $f(v) = v$ and $f(w) = w$, and so f is an automorphism of G . Since we labeled G in a distinguishing way at first, f is the identity automorphism.

Now we shall prove that $D(G) \leq D(G - e) + 2$. For this case, we label the vertices of G with $D(G - e) + 2$ labels in a distinguishing way. First we label $G - e$ with $D(G - e)$ labels in a distinguishing way. Next we replace the label of v and w by two new labels. This vertex labeling is distinguishing for G , because if f is an automorphism of G such that it preserves the labeling, then $f(v) = v$ and $f(w) = w$, and so f is an automorphism of $G - e$. Since we labeled $G - e$ in a distinguishing way at first, f is the identity automorphism. Therefore we have the result. $\square$

The bounds of Theorem 2.5 are sharp. It is immediate that for the complete graph of order n , K_n , $D(K_n) = n$, although a simple computation yields that $D(K_n - e) = n - 2$. Let $G = K_1 + (K_2 \cup (n - 2)K_1)$ and e be an edge of K_2 . Since $G - e = K_{1,n}$, so $D(G - e) = n$, even though $D(G) = n - 2$.

The following theorem examine the effect on $D'(G)$ when G is modified by deleting an edge.

Theorem 2.6 *For each connected graph G and $e \in E(G)$, $-1 \leq D'(G - e) - D'(G) \leq 2$.*

Proof. We first prove the inequality $D'(G) - 1 \leq D'(G - e)$. We define an edge distinguishing labeling with $D'(G - e) + 1$ labels for G . First we label the edges of $G - e$ with $D'(G - e)$ labels in a distinguishing way, next we assign a new label to the edge e . This edge labeling is distinguishing for G . Because if f is an automorphism of G such that it preserves the labeling, then $f(\{v, w\}) = \{v, w\}$, and so f is an automorphism of $G - e$. Since we labeled $G - e$ in a distinguishing way at first, the map f is the identity automorphism. This bound is sharp, because $D'(K_{1,n}) = n$ and $D'(K_{1,n} - e) = n - 1$ where e is an arbitrary edge of $K_{1,n}$.

Now we shall prove that $D'(G - e) \leq D'(G) + 2$. For this step we label the edges of G with $D'(G)$ labels in a distinguishing way. Next we replace the label of all incident edges to v except e , by a new label, and also we replace the label of all incident edges to w except e , by another new label. This edge labeling is distinguishing for $G - e$, because if f is an automorphism of $G - e$ such that it preserves the labeling, then $f(v) = v$ and $f(w) = w$, and so f is an automorphism of G . Since we labeled the edges of G in a distinguishing way at first, f is the identity automorphism. This bound is sharp, because it is sufficient to consider $G = K_1 + (K_2 \cup (n - 2)K_1)$ and e be an edge of K_2 . Since $G - e = K_{1,n}$, so $D'(G - e) = n$, even though $D'(G) = n - 2$. $\square$

3 Distinguishing number and distinguishing index of $G \odot v$

We denote by $G \odot v$ the graph obtained from G by the removal of all edges between any pair of neighbors of v , note v is not removed from the graph [2]. It is clear that if G is a graph of order n and the degree of a vertex v is $n - 1$ in G , then $G \odot v$ is a star graph as $K_{1,n-1}$, and so $D(G \odot v) = n - 1$ and $D'(G \odot v) = n - 1$. Therefore for every

vertex v of G , $D(G \odot v) \leq n - 1$ and $D'(G \odot v) \leq n - 1$. The following theorem is a lower bound for distinguishing number and index of $G \odot v$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let G be a connected graph of order n and v be a vertex of G . Then*

- (i) $D(G) - 1 \leq D(G \odot v)$,
- (ii) $D'(G) - 1 \leq D'(G \odot v)$.

Proof. (i) Let $N(v) = \{x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_t\}$ where $0 \leq s, t \leq n - 1$ and let the degree of x_i 's in $G \odot v$ be one and the degree of y_j 's in $G \odot v$ be greater than one. So there exist the vertices z_j 's, $1 \leq j \leq t$, such that the distance between z_j and y_j in G is one and the distance between z_j and v in G is two. First note that if f is an automorphism of G such that $f(v) = v$ then $f(\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}) = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ and $f(\{y_1, \dots, y_t\}) = \{y_1, \dots, y_t\}$. Because if $f(v) = v$ and there exists $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $1 \leq j \leq t$ such that $f(y_j) = x_i$, then the distance between $f(z_j)$ and x_i in G should be one, and so $f(z_j) \in \{x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_t\}$. On the other hand the distance between $f(z_j)$ and v in G should be two. Since $f(z_j) \in \{x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_t\}$ and the distance between each element of this set and the vertex v is one, we have a contradiction. Therefore if f is an automorphism of G such that $f(v) = v$ then the restriction of f to $G \odot v$ is an automorphism of $G \odot v$.

Now we define a distinguishing labeling for G with $D(G \odot v) + 1$ labels. First we label $G \odot v$ with $D(G \odot v)$ labels in a distinguishing way. Next we replace the label of v by a new label, and so the graph $G \odot v$ is labeled in a distinguishing way with $D(G \odot v) + 1$ labels. This labeling is a distinguishing labeling for G . Because if f is an automorphism of G such that it preserves the labeling, then $f(v) = v$. We have seen that the restriction of f to $G \odot v$ is an automorphism of $G \odot v$. Since this labeling is a distinguishing labeling for $G \odot v$, so f is the identity automorphism.

(ii) We define an edge distinguishing labeling for G with $D'(G \odot v) + 1$ labels. First we label the edges of $G \odot v$ with $D'(G \odot v)$ labels in a distinguishing way. Next we assign all the removed edges of G , a new label. This edge labeling is distinguishing for G , because if f is an automorphism of G such that it preserves the labeling, then f maps all the removed edges to the removed edges, and so the restriction of f to $G \odot v$ is an automorphism of $G \odot v$. Since we labeled $G \odot v$ in a distinguishing way at first, so f is the identity automorphism. $\square$

It is easy to see that the lower bounds in Theorem 3.1 are sharp. We know $D(K_n) = n$, although $D(K_n \odot v) = D(K_{1, n-1}) = n - 1$. Let the friendship graph F_n be the join of K_1 with n -copies of K_2 , i.e., $F_n = K_1 + nK_2$. If v is a non-central vertex of F_3 , then $D'(F_3 \odot v) = 2$, however $D'(F_3) = 3$. By the following theorem which gives the distinguishing number and the distinguishing index of F_n , we can find numerous n such that $D'(F_n \odot v) = D'(F_n) - 1$ where v is a non-central vertex of F_n .

Theorem 3.2 [3] *The distinguishing number of the friendship graph F_n ($n \geq 2$) is*

$$D(F_n) = \left\lfloor \frac{1 + \sqrt{8n + 1}}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

We end this section with the following theorem:

Theorem 3.3 (i) *There exists a graph G and a vertex of G , such that $\frac{D(G \odot v)}{D(G)}$ is arbitrarily large,*

(ii) *There exists a graph G and a vertex of G , such that $\frac{D'(G \odot v)}{D'(G)}$ is arbitrarily large.*

Proof.

(i) Let v be central vertex of the friendship graph F_n . Then

$$\frac{D(F_n \odot v)}{D(F_n)} = \frac{D(K_{1,2n})}{D(F_n)} = \frac{2n}{\lfloor \frac{1+\sqrt{8n+1}}{2} \rfloor},$$

and this fraction can be arbitrarily large.

(ii) Since $D'(K_n) = 2$ for $n > 3$, and $D'(K_n \odot v) = D'(K_{1,n-1}) = n - 1$, we can make $\frac{D'(G \odot v)}{D'(G)}$ arbitrarily large. $\square$

4 Distinguishing number and distinguishing index of vertex and edge contraction

Let v be a vertex in G . The contraction of v in G denoted by $G \circ v$ is the graph obtained by deleting v and putting a clique on the (open) neighbourhood of v . Note that this operation does not create parallel edges; if two neighbours of v are already adjacent, then they remain simply adjacent (see [11]).

Theorem 4.1 *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ and v be a vertex of G . Then*

(i) $D(G) - 1 \leq D(G \circ v)$,

(ii) $D'(G \circ v) \leq D'(G) + 1$.

Proof. (i) We define a distinguishing vertex labeling for G with $D(G \circ v) + 1$ labels. First we label $G \circ v$ with $D(G \circ v)$ labels in a distinguishing way. Assigning a new label to v , we consider this labeling, as a labeling of G . This labeling is a distinguishing vertex labeling for G , because if f is an automorphism of G preserving the labeling, then $f(v) = v$, and so $f(N_G(v)) = N_G(v)$. Therefore f is an automorphism of $G \circ v$. Since we labeled $G \circ v$ in a distinguishing way at first, f is the identity automorphism.

(ii) If the valency of the vertex v of G is full, then with respect to the degree of the vertex v , it can be seen that $D'(G \circ v) \leq D'(G) + 1$. So we suppose that the valency of the vertex v is not full and label the edges of G with the labels $\{1, \dots, D'(G)\}$ in a distinguishing way. To continue the proof, we consider two following cases:

Case 1) If $|N_G(v)| \neq 2$, then assign the labels 0 and 1 to the edges of the clique $K_{N_G(v)}$ in construction $G \circ v$, such that the edges of the clique $K_{N_G(v)}$, have been labeled in a distinguishing way. Now we consider this labeling for $G \circ v$. Note that since the

valency of the vertex v is not full, we can label the edges of the clique $K_{N_G(v)}$ with two labels in a distinguishing way. This labeling is a distinguishing edge labeling for $G \circ v$, because if f is an automorphism of $G \circ v$ preserving the labeling then $f(N_G(v)) = N_G(v)$. Since the edges of the clique $K_{N_G(v)}$ have been labeled in a distinguishing way, so $f(x) = x$ for each $x \in N_G(v)$, and so by defining $f(v) = v$ we can extend f to an automorphism of G . Since we labeled G in a distinguishing way, f is the identity automorphism.

Case 2) If $|N_G(v)| = 2$, we can assume that $N_G(v) = \{w, w'\}$. Since the valency of the vertex v is not full, so without loss of generality we suppose that there exists the new vertex z that is incident to w . Assigning the edges zw and ww' the new label 0 and 1, respectively. We consider this labeling for $G \circ v$. This labeling is a distinguishing edge labeling for $G \circ v$, because if f is an automorphism of $G \circ v$ preserving the labeling then $f(w) = w$ and $f(w') = w'$, and so by defining $f(v) = v$ we can extend f to an automorphism of G . Since we labeled G in a distinguishing way, f is the identity automorphism. $\square$

The bounds presented in Theorem 4.1 for the distinguishing number and index are sharp. For the Part (i), it is sufficient to consider $G = K_{1,n}$ and v a vertex of degree one. For the Part (ii), let G be as shown in Figure 3. In regard to the degree of the vertices of G we can obtain the automorphism group, and so we can get $D'(G) = n$ and $D'(G \circ v) = n + 1$.




Figure 3: $D'(G \circ v) = D'(G) + 1$.

Corollary 4.2 (i) *There is a graph G and a vertex v of G such that the value of $\frac{D(G \circ v)}{D(G)}$ can be arbitrarily large.*

(ii) *There is a graph G and a vertex v of G such that the value of $\frac{D'(G \circ v)}{D'(G)}$ can be arbitrarily small.*

Proof. (i) We consider the friendship graph F_n . If v is the central vertex of F_n , then $F_n \circ v$ is the complete graph K_{2n} , and so $D(F_n \circ v) = 2n$. Now by Theorem 3.2 it can be seen that the value $\frac{D(F_n \circ v)}{D(F_n)}$ can be arbitrarily large for sufficiently large n .

(ii) If $G = K_{1,n}$ ($n \geq 6$) and v is the central vertex of G , then $G \circ v = K_n$, and so $D'(G \circ v) = 2$. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D'(G \circ v)}{D'(G)} = 0$. $\square$

Now we examine the effects on $D(G)$ and $D'(G)$ when G is modified by an edge contraction. In a graph G , contraction of an edge e with endpoints u, v is the replacement of u and v with a single vertex such that edges incident to the new vertex are the

edges other than e that were incident with u or v . The resulting graph $G \circ e$ has one less edge than G ([4]). The following theorem gives a lower bound for the distinguishing number and the distinguishing index of $G \circ e$:

Theorem 4.3 *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ and $e \in E(G)$. We have*

$$(i) \quad D(G) - 1 \leq D(G \circ e) \leq 3D(G).$$

$$(ii) \quad D'(G) - 1 \leq D'(G \circ e) \leq 3D'(G).$$

Proof. Let e be the edge between the two vertices v and w . The contraction of e , convert v and w to one vertex, and we denote it by v , again.

(i) First we prove $D(G) - 1 \leq D(G \circ e)$. We define a distinguishing vertex labeling for G with $D(G \circ e) + 1$ labels. For this purpose, we label $G \circ e$ in a distinguishing way with $D(G \circ e)$ labels. Next we consider this labeling as a labeling of G by assigning a new label to the vertex w . This labeling is a distinguishing labeling for G , because if f is an automorphism of G preserving the labeling then $f(w) = w$, and so the restriction of f to $G \circ e$ is an automorphism of $G \circ e$. Since we labeled $G \circ e$ in a distinguishing way at first, f is the identity automorphism.

Now we prove that $D(G \circ e) \leq 3D(G)$. Let x be a vertex of G and $N_1(x)$ be the set of vertices of degree one in G which are adjacent to x . Also we use the notation $N(x, y)$, $x, y \in V(G)$, for the set of vertices of G which are adjacent to both of x and y . Using these notations we define a distinguishing vertex labeling of $G \circ e$ with at most $3D(G)$ labels. We label G with the labels $\{1, \dots, D(G)\}$ in a distinguishing way. Now we add the number $D(G)$ to the label of each vertex in $N_1(v)$, and next we add the number $2D(G)$ to the label of each vertex in $N(v, w)$. Transferring this labeling to $G \circ e$, we have a distinguishing labeling for $G \circ e$, because if f is an automorphism of $G \circ e$ preserving the labeling, then $f(N_1(v)) = N_1(v)$ and $f(N(v, w)) = N(v, w)$. Hence by defining $f(w) = w$, we can extend f to an automorphism of G preserving the labeling. Since we labeled G in a distinguishing way at first, f is the identity automorphism.

(ii) Here we prove that $D'(G) - 1 \leq D'(G \circ e)$. We define a distinguishing edge labeling for G with $D'(G \circ e) + 1$ labels. First we label the edge set of $G \circ e$ in a distinguishing way with $D'(G \circ e)$ labels. Assigning a new label to the edge e , we transfer this labeling to G . This labeling is a distinguishing labeling for G , because if f is an automorphism of G preserving the labeling then $f(\{v, w\}) = \{v, w\}$. So the restriction of f to $G \circ e$ is an automorphism of $G \circ e$. Since we labeled $G \circ e$ in a distinguishing way at first, f is the identity automorphism on $G \circ e$. On the other hand f preserves the adjacency relation on G , and so $f(v) = v$ and $f(w) = w$. Therefore f is the identity automorphism on G .

Finally we prove that $D'(G \circ e) \leq 3D'(G)$. Let $x \in V(G)$ and $E_1(x)$ be the set of edges of G that are incident to x and a vertex of degree one of G . The set of edges of G that are incident to x and a vertex of $N(x, y)$, or the edges of G that are incident to y and a vertex of $N(x, y)$ are denoted by $E(x, y)$. Using these notations we define a distinguishing edge labeling of $G \circ e$ with at most $3D'(G)$ labels. First we label the edge set of G with the labels $\{1, \dots, D'(G)\}$ in a distinguishing way. Now we add the

number $D'(G)$ to the label of each edge in $E_1(v)$, and next we add the number $2D'(G)$ to the label of each edge in $E(v, w)$. It is clear that this labeling is a distinguishing edge labeling of G . We want to show that this labeling is a distinguishing edge labeling for $G \circ e$, too. For this purpose, suppose that f is an automorphism of $G \circ e$ preserving the labeling, then $f(E_1(v)) = E_1(v)$ and $f(E(v, w)) = E(v, w)$ (note that the size of $E(v, w)$ decrease to half, after the contraction of e). Hence by defining $f(w) = w$, we can extend f to an automorphism of G preserving the labeling. Since we labeled G in a distinguishing way at first, f is the identity automorphism. $\square$

The bounds of Theorem 4.3 for the distinguishing number and index are sharp. Let $K_{1,n}$ be the star graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices of degree one. It can be seen that $D(K_{1,n} \circ e) = n - 1 = D(K_{1,n}) - 1$ where $e \in E(K_{1,n})$ is an arbitrary edge of $K_{1,n}$. For the second inequality, let G be a graph of order $2n + 3$ as shown in Figure 4 and $e = uv$. In regard to the degree sequences of G we can obtain the automorphism group, and so we can get $D(G) = n$ and $D(G \circ e) = D(K_{1,3n}) = 3n$. These two examples are satisfied for showing the sharpness of the distinguishing index in the Theorem 4.3.




Figure 4: $D(G \circ e) = 3D(G)$.

References

- [1] M.O. Albertson and K.L. Collins, *Symmetry breaking in graphs*, Electron. J. Combin. 3 (1996) #R18.
- [2] S. Alikhani and E. Deutsch, *More on domination polynomial and domination root*, Ars Combin., in press. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1305.3734v2>.
- [3] S. Alikhani and S. Soltani, *Distinguishing number and distinguishing index of certain graphs*, submitted. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.03302>.

- [4] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, American Elsevier, MacMillan, New York, London, (1976).
- [5] R. Hammack, W. Imrich and S. Kalařzar, *Handbook of product graphs (second edition)*, Taylor & Francis group (2011).
- [6] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, P.J. Slater, *Fundamentals of domination in graphs*, Marcel Dekker, NewYork, 1998.
- [7] R. Kalinowski and M. Pilśniak, *Distinguishing graphs by edge colourings*, European J. Combin. 45 (2015) 124-131.
- [8] S. Kalařzar and X. Zhu, *Cartesian powers of graphs can be distinguished by two labels*, European J. Combin. 28 (2007) 303-310.
- [9] F. Michael and I. Garth, *Distinguishing colorings of Cartesian products of complete graphs*, Discrete Math., 308 (11) (2008) 2240-2246.
- [10] M. Pilśniak, *Nordhaus-Gaddum bounds for the distinguishing index*, Available at www.ii.uj.edu.pl/preMD/.
- [11] M. Walsh, *The hub number of a graph*, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci., 1 (2006) 117-124.